Heller Firm - Matter by Focus Area

Pacific Gas & Electric Company, Case No. 01-30923 DM, filed 4/6/01. UST Analysis - May 29, 2002

(Grouping by U.S. Trustee for Review Purposes)

Sub-total	CPUC Prudence Review (ATCP Proceeding)	Other FERC Gas Dockets/Matters	FERC Docket RP97-288 (Transwestern Pipelir	RP00-336 (El Paso Compliance Filing)	FERC Order 637 & Dockets RP99-507, RP00-139,	Related Complaints)	Fb. Jockets RP01-484&486 (El Paso Capacity	F ocket RP00-241 (CPUC v. El Paso)	Appeals of FERC Orders - EL00-95	(Seeking FERC intervention into Ca. marke	FERC Docket EL00-95 & Related Dockets/Mtrs	ONGOING REGULATORY MATTERS	Sub-total	Seller/Generator Issues	Qualifying Facility Proceedings/Issues	POWER PRODUCERS & SUPPLIERS	Sub-total	Other CPUC and California State Law Matter	People of State of Ca. v PGE Corp	CPUC OII Proceeding	CPUC Docket 01-03-082	FERC Docket ER01-889 (Creditworthiness)	F Hiled Rate Case	ISC nd CALPX	CPUC, DWR, State of California,						
4,140.2	Γ	5.5		21.1	-139,	14.0	city	1,668.8	0	ke 2,132.4		S.	553.7	134.3	419.4		2,621.3	er 133.7	0	65.9	778.0	188.6	1,455.1		-	Apr-Jul 01	Hours	Total	Application Application	First Fee	
4,350.0	515.3	0.3	27.6	13.4		4.6		1,288.7	0	2,500.1			61.0	9.3	,	!	2,577.9	248.3		125.4	0.1	91.7	2,112.4			Aug-Nov 01 Dec-01	Hours	Total	Application	2nd Fee	
920.7	197.6	0.9	0.3	0.5		0.9		203.8		516.7			13.3	4.5		,	477.4	56.4		200.2	0	71.9	148.9						COX		
899.6	132.6	0.7	0	0		0		123.9		642.4			10.9	6.8	4.1	:	554.5	72.0	9.5	99.8	20.7	17.1	335.4			Jan-02			CURRENT BILLING PERIOD (3rd)		JOH
1,241.4	107.8	0	0	0.5		0		151.3	1.8	980.0			4.8	1.5	3.3	,	740.4	0		268.8	30.3	11.4	429.9			Feb-02 1			LLING		HOURS BILLED
1,703.0	66.1	0	0	1.3		0		209.5	53.5	1,372.6			 2.3	1.5	0.8))	1,052.9	10.5	0.3	117.7	8.6	13.4	902.4			Mar-02 [<u> </u>	To	PERCO		٥
4,764.7	504.1	1.6	0.3	2.3		0.9		688.5	55.3	3,511.7			31.3	14.3	17.0	j	 2,825.2	138.9	9.8	686.5	59.6	113.8	1,816.6			Dec-Mar to	3rd Period	Total Hours	(3rd)		
414.7	-11.2	1.3	-27.3	-11.1		-3.7		-600.2	55.3	1,011.6			-29.7	5.0	-34.7		 247.3	-109.4	9.8	561.1	59.5	22.1	-295.8			Hrs. 2nd	Hrs. 3rd Apr 01 thru	Compare		9	
13,254.9	1,317.4	7.4	28.3	36.8		19.5		3,646.0	55.3	8,144.2			646.0	157.9	488.1		8,024.4	520.9	9.8	877.8	837.7	394.1	5,384.1			to Hrs. 2ndMarch 2002	pr 01 thru	To Date	Hours	Cumulative	
\$1,107,960	100,541	1,805	133	6,994		4,202	92090	452,738	0	541,547	******		 \$181,777	43,386	138,391		\$731,793	46,339		24,118	237,136	72,896	\$351,304			Apr-Jul 01	Fees	Total	******	First Fee	
\$1,130,303	150,694		6,299	4,415		1,298		342,035	•	625,462			\$19,805	3,627	16,178		\$668,240	74,351		29,		35,930	\$528,847			Aug-Nov 01	Fees	Total	Application Application	2nd Fee	
\$236,416	65,338	172	100	167		95		47,368		123,176			\$4,493	1,764	2,729		\$127,375	18,915		45,257			\$38,027		\neg	Dec-01					
\$260,157	44,728	246	0	0		0		41,617		173,566			\$3,930	2,666	1,264		\$162,255	26,780	4,062	28,055	8,149		\$88,869			Jan-02		2000000	CURRENT BILLING PERIOD (3rd)		H
\$339,638	26,878	0	0	176		0		50,264	247	262,073			\$1,647	548	1,099		\$209,279	0	0	70,396	11,000		\$123,944			Feb-02			LLING		FEES BILLED
\$451,037	18,733	0	0	456		0		72,256	9,470	350,122			 \$814	548	266		\$294,134	4,239	12	31,258	3,896	5,919	\$248,810			Mar-02			COPIE		
\$451,037 \$1,287,248	155,677	418	100	799		95		211,505	9,717	\$908,937			\$10,884	5,526	\$5,358		\$793,043	49,934	4,074	174,966	23,045	41,374	\$499,650			Dec-Mar	3rd Period	Total Fees	3rd)		
\$156,945	4,983	318	-6,199	-3,616		-1,203		-130,530	9,717	\$283,475			-\$8,921	1,899	-\$10,820		\$124,803	-24,417	4,074	145,890	23,009	5,444	-\$29,197			р.	Fees 3rd	Compare		®	
\$156,945 \$3,525,511	406,912		6,532	12,208		5,595		1,006,278	9,717	\$283,475 \$2,075,946			 \$212,466	52,539	\$159,927		\$124,803 \$2,193,076	170,624			260,217	150,200	\$29,197 \$1,379,801			March 2002	Apr 01 thru	To Date	Fees	Cumulative	
																															,

(Grouping by U.S. Trustee for Review Purposes) Heller - Matter by Focus Area Page 1 of 2

Heller Firm - Matter by Focus Area
Pacific Gas & Electric Company, Case No. 01-30923 DM, filed 4/6/01.
UST Analysis - May 29, 2002

(Grouping by U.S. Trustee for Review Purposes)

	TOTAL	Rounding differences ance electronic transmission to fee app	Sub-total	Bankruptcy Employment and Fee Application	GENERAL BANKRUPTCY MATTERS Ancillary BK Services Related to Other Matters and Administration	Sub-total	Wayne Roberts v. PG&E	Other Advice, Consult., Research re Energy Is	General Corporate Issues	Claims re Missing London Markets	Richard D. Wilson v. PGE & PGE Corp.	Modesto Irrigation District v. Destec	Utility General Insurance Advice	R MATTERS Santa Cruz					
	7,731.7	····	366.8	93.4	273.4	49.7	0	23.9	12.6	0	13.2	<u> </u>	0	0	Apr-Jul 01 Aug-Nov 01 Dec-01 Jan-02	Hours	Total	First Fee 2nd Fee Application Application	
	7,973.6		821.7	653.2	168.5	163.0	82.8	18.6	0.0	2.1	0	3.2	55.8	0.5	Aug-Nov 0	Hours	Total	2nd Fee Application	
	7,973.6 1,543.0		119.2	Г	55.9	12.4	6.3	0	2.2	0	0	0	2.3	1.6	Dec-01				
	1,915.0		294.7	261.9	32.8	155.3	8.0	140.0	0	2.4	0	0	4.8	0.1				RENT BI	HOU
ک ۵ ک	2,151.9		112.1	92.9	19.2	53.2	1.0	50.7	0	0	0	0	1.5	0	Feb-02 N			CURRENT BILLING PERIOD (3rd)	HOURS BILLED
Vol. Reduction a Disallowed Fees Adjusted Fees	2,891.6		47.9	41.3	6.6	85.5	1.8	28.0	0	50.8	0	1,3	0	3.6	Mar-02 D	310	Tot	ERIOD (
on after fil Rees 95	8,501.5		573.9	459.4	114.5	306.4	17.1	218.7	2.2	53.2	0.0	1.3	8.6	5 <u>5</u> 3	Dec-Mar to	_	Total Hours	3rd)	
ing of 1st f	527.9		-247.8	-193.8	-54.0	143.4	-65.7	200.1	2.2	51.1	0.0	-1.9	47.2	4.8	to Hrs. 2ndMarch 2002	7	Compare	8	
Vol. Reduction after filing of 1st fee applicatic Disallowed Fees Adjusted Fees	24,206.8		1,762.4	1,206.0	556.4	519.1	99.9	261.2	14.8	55.3	13.2	4.5	64.4	Э	farch 2002	pr 01 thru	To Date	Cumulative Hours	
11 1	\$2,167,333		\$129,189	38,064	91,125	\$16,614	0	8,911	5,239	0	2,464	0	0	0	Apr-Jul 01	Fees	Total		
(53,094) (18,466) 5,842 \$2,095,773 \$2,122,167 Order dtd Order dtd 11/21/2001 02/27/2002	\$2,116,325 \$411,203	\$4 30	\$242,445		58.045	\$55,102		8,003		832			18	140	Aug-Nov 01	Fees	Total	First Fee 2nd Fee Application Application	
	\$411,203	\$ 3	\$38,652		20.592	\$4,270	2,060	0	1,010	0	0	0	837	363	Dec-01			CU	
	\$561,419	-\$ 1	\$75,909	62,266	13.643	\$59,169	2,650	54,016	0	950	0	0	1,521	32	Jan-02			CURRENT BILLING PERIOD (3rd)	FF
	\$601,279	- \$ 2	\$31,355	22,977	8.378	\$19,362	40	18,724	0	0	0	0	598	0	Feb-02			ILLING I	FEES BILLED
	\$779,675	-\$1	\$11,853	10,052	1.801	\$21,838	392	12,488	0	6,968	0	627	0	1,363	Mar-02		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	PERIOD	
	\$561,419 \$601,279 \$779,675 \$2,355,607	-\$7 \$2,031	\$157,769	55	\$44.414	\$104,639	5,142		1,010	7,918			2,956	\$1,758	Dec-Mar	3rd Period	Total Fees	(3rd)	
		\$1,601	-\$84,676		\$ 13.631	\$49,537	Γ	77,225	1,010	7,086	0			\$1,618	to Hrs. 2nd	Fees 3rd	Compare	(9)	
(53,094) (12,624) \$6,573,547	\$239,289 \$6,639,265	-\$7 \$2,461	\$529,403		\$193.584	\$176,355	1	102,142	6,249	8,750	2,464		21,792	\$1,898	March 2002	Apr 01 thru	To Date	Cumulative Fees	

(Grouping by U.S. Trustee for Review Purposes) Heller - Matter by Focus Area

Heller Firm - Top Billing Categories (Shaded Areas) - Current Period (Dec. 01 through March 02)

Pacific Gas & Electric Company, Case No. 01-30923 DM, filed 4/6/01.

UST Analysis - May 29,2002

	2031			Adjustment electronic to fee app
	7,761	22.5		Other Matters
	4,074	9.8		People State of Ca. v. PGE Corp
	5,142	17.1		Wayne Roberts v. PGE
	5,525	14.3		Seller/Generator Issues
	5,358	17		Qualifying Facility Proceedings/Issues
	7,918	53.2		Che Missing London Markets
	9,717	55.3	9	A of FERC Orders - EL-0095 (See above)
	23,044	59.6		CPUC Docket 01-03-082
	41,374	113.8		FERC Docket ER01-889 (Creditworthiness)
	44,413	114.5		Ancillary bankruptcy matters
	49,934	138.9		Other CPUC & Ca. State Law Matters
	85,228	218.7	8	Other Advice, Cons., Research Re Energy Issues
4.81%	113,354	459.4	F	Bankruptcy Emp & Fee Applications
	155,676	504.1	Е	CPUC Prudence Review (*)
	174,967	686.5	D	CPUC OII Proceedings
	211,505	688.5	C	PERC Docket RP00-241
	499,650	1816.6	В	Federal Filed Rate Case
	908,936	3511.7	Α	FERC Docket EL-0095
Current Fe	Fees	Hours	Below	Heller Billing Categories
to Total			See	
% of Fees				
larch 2002	through M	Dec. 2001		
	larch 2002	through March 2002 ** of Fees	77 908,936 3 5 2211,505 5 174,967 1 155,676 4 113,934 4 113,934 4 113,934 4 14,413 5 44,413 5 44,413 5 5,525 5 7,761 5 5,761 7 5,358 8 4,074 8 4,074 8 4,074 8 4,074	Below Hours Fees Curn A 3511.7 908.936 3 B 1816.6 499.650 2 C 688.5 211,505 D 686.5 174,967 E 5041 155,676 F 499.4 113.54 113.8 41,374 113.8 41,374 155.3 9,717 553.2 7,918 17. 5,358 14.3 5,525 14.3 5,525 14.3 5,525 17.1 5,142 9.8 4,074 22.5 7,761 2031

CPUC PRUDENCE REVIEW

Total Hours/Fees for Billing Period

8501.5

2,355,607

100.00%

This category relates to PG&E's 2001 Annual Transition Cost Proceeding ("ATCP"), The ATCP is the CPUC's yearly audit of PG&E's accounting of costs and revenues recorded in its Transition Cost Balancing Account. On 3/22/02, the CPUC bifurcated PG&E's 2001 Application into two phases. The Office of Ratepayer Advocates has challenged \$43.6 million of PG&E's recorded costs as part of "Phase 1".

Most

Background (From Heller narrative & supplemented from PG&E's 3/31/02 10Q f:led with SEC)

FERC Dock. EL-0095(CRISIS) This FERC proceeding, initially commenced by San Diego Gas & Elec., sought FERC's intervention in the trouble California electricity market. Numerous parties intervened, including PG&E. The parties sought market reform and refunds from electricity sellers/traders for potential overcharging during the Ca. electricity crisis. The FERC ordered certain price mitigation relief to stabilize the market; the current FERC imposed market conditions are to expire on 9/30/02. During the summer of 2001, a FERC judge presided over settlement negotiations between power generators. California, and California's utilities to no avail. Evidentiary hearings were recommended by the judge and are currently scheduled to begin in August, 2002. California and its investor owned utilities are seeking \$1.5 billion in refunds for electricity overcharges. Fees charged by Heller in representing PG&E in this & related matters are: \$908,936/current period & \$2,075,945 since 4/6/01.

Federal Filed Rate Case

Prior to filing bankruptcy, PG&E sued the CPUC to force the CPUC to allow PG&E to recover its escalating wholesale costs through retail rates pursuant to the federal "filed rate doctrine". On 5/2/01, the case was dismissed on ripeness grounds. Heller refiled the suit on PG&E's behalf on 8/6/01 in the USDC, Northern Dist. On California. PG&E's filed rate case has been deemed a related case to PG&E's pending appeal of judge Montali's order denying PG&E's request for relief from the CPUC's 3/01 "retroactive accounting order". At a case management conference held on 3/2/02, Judge Walker indicated priority would be given to the filed rate case. On 4/18/02, PG&E filed a motion for summary judgment requesting relief under the filed rate doctrine. On the same date, the CPUC and TURN filed motions for summary judgment seeking an opposite ruling. The motions were to be heard on 5/24/02 according to PG&E's 10Q filing. Fees charged by Heller in representing PG&E in this matter are \$499,650/current period & \$1,379,801 since 4/6/01.

FERC Docket RP00-241 (EI PASO)

The CPUC filed a proceeding against EI Paso Natural Gas & its affiliate alleging the companies manipulated the gas market driving gas prices up at the California border which then led to a spike is wholesale electricity prices during the summer of 2000. Heller is PG&E's trial counsel in this matter. Fees charged by Helle in representing PG&E in this matter are \$211,505/current period & \$1,006,278 since 4/6/01.

CPUC OII Proceedings

In 4/01, the CPUC ordered an investigation into whether Ca. investor-owned utilities, including PG&E, had violated the "first priority rule" in various corporate transactions involving the utilities/parents including - the parent's ring-fencing of its unregulated assets, dividends paid during times of financial difficulties, failure to financially assist the utility. The "first priority rule" was a rule put in place when the CPUC approved the formation of parent holding companies; it requires the holding company to put the utility's capital needs first. The term "capital needs" has been a matter of dispute between the utility and the CPUC. On 1/9/02, the CPUC issued an interim decision adopting a broad interpretation of the "first priority condition" which is adverse to PG&E's interpretation. The CPUC's interim decision also interpretation and (b) acquiring its utility's assets at any price if the acquisition would impair the utility's ablity to serve its consideration and (b) acquiring its utility's assets at any price if the acquisition would impair the utility's ablity to serve its customers. Fees charged by Heller in representing PG&E in this matter are \$174,967/current period & \$228,161 since 4/6/01.

			▶		ಹ	_		٥		Į,			
		FERC Dod	FERC Docket EL-0095	Federal I	Federal Filed Rate Case	FERC Dock	et RP00-241	FERC Docket RP00-241 CPUC OII Proceedings CPUC Prudence Review	roceedings	CPUC Prude	nce Review	Emp & I	Emp & Fee Apps
st Active Attorneys in		Hours	Fees	Hours	Fees	Hours	Fees	Hours	Fees	Hours	Fees	Hours	Fees
Billing Categories	Hr.Rate												
کم	\$423			290,2	113,758			30.9	14,183	92.4	42,412	44,1	18,314
J.P.	\$395			4.9	1,656			91.2	36,115	163.5	64,746		
, S.A.	\$384	593.9	216,774							4.0	1,784		
oer, T.E.	\$356			148.6	52,902	10							
an, J.H.	\$351	722.0	252,076			5.9	1,976			1.0	333		
uler, P.B.	\$351					538.4	187,344					0.6	200
, H.S.	\$329	372.9	122,283							2.0	658		
anton, V.	\$324			108.2	34,970								
en, R.H.	\$315			178.2	55,953			0.2	56			17	525
e, A.M.	\$312			325.2	96,584					8.2	2,804	177.7	59,782
, S.H.	\$288			1.3	374			253.1	69,491				distribution of China State State of
h, T.	\$288			60.4	17,395	<u>.</u>				137.3	37,051	0.5	126
ce, S.I.	\$261	564.4	143,572									1.1	228
arza, B.M.	\$261							1721	42,592				
ers		1258.5	174,233	699.6	126,057	144.2	22,185		12,531	95.7	5,888	233.7	34,180
Number of Others Including Paralegals	ing Paralegals	27		19		10		6		6		11	
Total Hours/Fees for Billing Period	-	3511.7	908,936	1816.6	499,650	688.5	211,505	686.5	174,967	504.1	155,676	459.4	113,354

Reiber Reiber Fagan Mohle Kim, I Collar Sheen Cole, Jaffe, (Jolish, Grace

Pacific Gas & Electric Company, Case No. 01-30923 DM, filed 4/5/01. Heller Firm - By Attorney Sorted by Firm Position & Most Active to Least Active for Current Billing Period (12/01 through 3/02)

UST Analysis - May 29,2002

	Total No. of People	TOTAL	Adjust electronic to fee app	No. of Non-Lawyers Vol reduction to pares / non-law	NON-LAWYERS (Page 3)	P. GALS &	Total No. of Attorneys	No. of Associates	ASSOCIATES (Page 2)	No. of Shareholder Attorneys	Total, Shareholders & S.Csl	Other Shareholders	Finney	Russell	Brownstein	Brown	Goodwin	Goldstein	Benvenutti	Shepard	Rushforth	Popofsky	Sto.	Ri	Hayden	Cole	Fiala	Berman	SHAREHOLDER						
	ple		e app	ers	re 3)		пеув	83		ttorneys	& S.Csl.		\$383	\$396	\$383	\$315	\$412	\$383	\$407	\$482	\$396	\$500	\$338	\$356	\$395	\$312	\$423	\$384		Rate	Blended	Current			
		7,731.6			1,769.4				3,661.8		2,300.4	8.9	0	0	0	0.5	0		113.6		60.3	32.0	150.7	401.4	94.1	287.9	540.8	610.2		Apr-Jul 01	Hours	Total	Application Application		
		7,973.6			1,652.5				3,954.7		2,366.4	1.8	4.1	2.1	3.1	0.0	6.1		40.1		46.0	23.0	44.4	312.7	134.2	415.7	675.5	657.6		Aug-Nov 0:	Hours	Total	2nd Fee Application		
	45.0	1,543.0		17.0			29	18	774.0	111	46		0.8	•			0.4		1.0				38.1	STOCK SEE				124.6		Dec-01					
	44.0	1,915.0		15.0	374.3		29	18	941.0	11	599.7			2.4			0.8		8.4		1.9	1.5	1.5	1.8	71.6	142.1	182.3	185,4		Jan-02			RENT B	HO	
	48.0	2,151.9		18.0	526.2		30	18	1,013.8	12	611.9				3.7		1.1	8.0	7.8	14.8		13.3		37.9	71.5	117.3	144.0	189.0		Feb-02			CURRENT BILLING PERIOD (3rd)	HOURS BILLED	
	46.0	2,891.6		20.0	768.5		26	17	1,327.7	9	795.4			1.1			1.4					4.7	4.5	116.5	19.9	197.5	174.3	275.5		Mar-02		. н	PERIOD	Ü	
> ⁵	ol. Reduct	8,501.5			1,975.3				4,056.5		2,469.7	0.0	0.8	3.5	3.7	4.5	3.7	8.0	17.2	14.8	21.6	19.6	44.1	156.2	268.6	520,9	608.0	774.5	*	Dec-Mar	3rd Period	Total Hours	(3rd)		
Adjusted Fees	ion after fi	527.9			322.8				101.8		103.3	-1.8	-3.3	1.4	0.6	4.5	-2.4	8.0	-22.9	14.8	-24.4	-3.4	-0.3	-156.5	134.4	105.2	-67.5	116.9		o Hrs. 2nd	Hrs. 3rd	Compare	6		
es eg	46.0 Vol. Reduction after filing fee app	24,206.7		00000000	5,397.2				11,673.0		7,136.5	10.7	4.9	5.6	6.8	5.0	9.8	8.0	170.9	14.8	127.9	74.6	239.2	870.3	496.9	1,224.5		20423		o Hrs. 2ndMarch 2002	Apr 01 thru	To Date	Cumulative Hours		
\$2,095,773	(53,094)	\$2,167,333		*******	\$206,808				\$1,073,593		\$886,932	3,611	0	0	0	192	0	******	47,358		23,878	15,397	57,677	142,898	37,262	94,752	229,585	234,322		Apr-Jul 01	Fees	Total	First Fee 2nd Fee Application Application		
\$2,122,168	5 6 7 5	69	431	-26 RR6	\$173,633				\$1,077,052		\$891,766	641	1,570	832	1,132		2,416		17,417		18,216	12,085	15,188	and the same	53,143			240.866		Aug-Nov 01	Fees	Total	2nd Fee Application		
		2,116,326 \$411,204			\$24,019				\$207,809		\$179,376	0	306			1,418	158		437	· ·	6,415	56	12,878	CONTRACTOR STATE OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRA	41,818	20,354	47.287	48.249		Dec-01			CL		
		\$561,419			\$39,436				\$285,052		\$236,931	0		950			331		3,717	,	752	782	507	641	28,390	46,600	80.263	73 997		Jan-02			CURRENT BILLING PERIOD (3rd)	Ŧ.	
		1			\$60,070				\$311,208		\$230,000	0			1,417		455	3,064	2,841	7,134	1,386	6,732		13,492	28,314	35,817	58.500	70.848		Feb-02			ILLING F	FEES BILLED	
		\$601,279 \$779,675			\$90,204				\$311,208 \$400,865		\$288,606	0		436			580					2,223	1,521	41,474	7,596	59,751	71,009	104.017		Mar-02			ERIOD (D	
		\$2,355,607	2,031	5	\$213,729				\$1,204,934		\$934,913	0	306	1,386	1,417	1,418	1,525	3,064	6,995	7,134	8,554	9.793	14,906	55,607	106,118	162,522	257,059	297.111	*	Dec-Mar	3rd Period	Total Fees	3rd)		
		\$239,281		26 556	40,096				127,882		\$43,147	-641	-1,264						۸.					Operations:		V.		56.245		to Hrs. 2nd	Fees 3rd	Compare	Ø		
\$6,573,548	(53,094)	\$239,281 \$6,639,266	2,462		594,170		100.00%	55.29%	3,355,579	44.71%	\$2,713,611	4,252	1,876						.,					PERSONA				772.299		March 2002	Apr 01 thru	To Date	Cumulative Fees		

Heller Firm - By Attorney Sorted by Firm Position & Most Active to Least Active for Current Billing Period (12/01 through 3/02) Pacific Gas & Electric Company, Case No. 01-30923 DM, filed 4/4/01.

UST Analysis - May 29,2002

###### \$1,204,934 \$ 127,879 \$3,335,362		##	###	\$311,208	\$ 285,052	####### \$ 207,809	#######	\$ 1,0/3,593	11,6/3.0	8.101	4,056.5	17.22/./	18	8 18	,	1.8 3,934.7	3,100,6	ciates	No. of Associates
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0	0	0		3	T	71,906	352.6	-78.7	0.0		ı		Τ	7	2		Other Associates
133 -34		133	133	133	133				0.9	-0.1	0.4			0.4				\$333	Marsh
636 636 636		636							3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0			0	0		\$212	Green
372 1,032 142 783 2,330	372 1,032 142 783	372 1,032 142	372 1,032	372		ω			43.0	-29.8	6.6	2.2	0.4	1.1 2.9		0 3		\$356	Brand
2,709 1,199 1,099 266	2,709 1,199 1,099 266	2,709 1,199 1,099	2,709 1,199	2,709		9	N	80,232	336.1	48.8	16.3	0.8	3.3	8.6 3.6	65.1 8	254.7 6	2:	\$333	Borrasca
4,280 90 1,386 5,756	4,280 90 1,386	4,280 90 1,386	4,280 90	4,280		51			86.2	6.4	39.9				46.3 31.7			\$180	Orvald
972 3,013 2,333 6,318	972 3,013 2,333	972 3,013	972		24	22	184	1,285	24.3	18.9	19.5	7.2	9.3	3.0	0.6	4.2		\$324	Glass
3,710 4,053 1,163 7,558 16,484	3,710 4,053 1,163 7,558	3,710 4,053 1,163	3,710 4,053	3,710		689		23,133	460.7	-122.7	97.6	44.2	6.8	9 23.7	220.3 22.9	142.8 22		\$171	Andrea
12,249 4,379 1,544 473	12,249 4,379 1,544 473	12,249 4,379 1,544	12,249 4,379	12,249	_	9,606	4		242.0	-113.6	64.2	1.5	4.9	9 13.9	177.8 43.9	0 17		\$315	Ware
2,130 7,183 3,381 12,818 25,511	2,130 7,183 3,381 12,818	2,130 7,183 3,381	2,130 7,183	2,130		55,686		37,998	650.2	-198.5	117.9	58.0	15.3		316.4 12.1	215.9 31	2	\$221	Gould
47 9,916 2,109 13,542 25,614	47 9,916 2,109 13,542	47 9,916 2,109	47 9,916	47		3,587			170.0	124.6	147.3	73.2	20.2	0.3 53.6	22.7	0 2		\$185	Lee
11,974 1,400 29,635	16,262 11,974 1,400	16,262 11,974	16,262 11,974	16,262		6,739		28,508	218.8	75.5	98.5		4.5	5 38.5	23 55.5	97.3		\$311	Stelck
8,509 15,921 6,995 42,592	8,509 15,921 6,995	8,509 15,921	8,509	•	11,167				172.1	172.1	172.1	26.8	61.0	.7 32.6	51.7			\$261	Esparza (Duenas)
12,118	6,250 12,118 19,278 11,016	6,250 12,118 19,278	6,250 12,118 1	6,250		51,776		151,776	1,206.6	-374.4	152.6	34.0	59.5	.7 37.4	527.0 21.7	527.0 52	5	\$324	Collanton
17,564 9,619 7,085 20,304 54,572 -	17,564 9,619 7,085 20,304	17,564 9,619 7,085	17,564 9,619	17,564		68,393		15,372	530.6	-73.2	198.2	70.5		.7 33.4	271.4 69.7	61.0 27	_	\$288	John
21,571 19,267 71,989	24,268 6,883 21,571 19,267	24,268 6,883 21,571	24,268 6,883	24,268		26,712		1,084	372.3	156,0	262.0	66,9	74.9		96.3			\$288	Ā
7,059 34,839 5,450 27,626 74,973	7,059 34,839 5,450 27,626	7,059 34,839 5,450	7,059 34,839	7,059		44,640		32,001	515.6	80.9	240.9	87.7						\$315	Sheer
47,789 51,339 149,023	16,539 33,356 47,789 51,339	16,539 33,356 47,789	16,539 33,356	16,539		4,870		21,466	1,101.2	177.5	587.5	196.7			410.0 79.9		11	\$261	Grace
16,172 38,230 59,714 59,746 173,862 1	16,172 38,230 59,714 59,746	16,172 38,230 59,714	16,172 38,230	16,172		68,887		159,885	1,266.9	309.8	531.3	181.6			221.5			\$329	Kim
30,869 40,365 47,455 70,165 188,854	30,869 40,365 47,455 70,165	30,869 40,365 47,455	30,869 40,365	30,869		52,181		229,435	1,688.8	85.8	542.8	199,9					66	\$351	Mohler
271,062 36,031 60,337 71,709 95,999 264,075 -6,987	36,031 60,337 71,709 95,999	36,031 60,337 71,709	36,031 60,337	36,031		1,062		219,512	2,231.1	-56.1	757.9	273.5	204.3	2 171.9	814.0 108.2	659.2 81	66	\$351	Fagan
		10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1							****										ASSOCIATES
Aug-Nov 01 Dec-01 Jan-02 Feb-02 Mar-02 Dec-Mar to Hrs. 2nd	Jan-02 Feb-02 Mar-02	Jan-02 Feb-02	Jan-02 Feb-02	Jan-02	ov 01 Dec-01	ov 01	Aug-N	Apr-Jul 01	farch 2002	Hrs. 2nd/	Dec-Mar to Hrs. 2ndMarch 2002	Mar-02	Feb-02	1 Jan-02	707 Dec-0	Apr-Jul 01 Aug-Nov 0 Dec-01	Apr-Jul	Rate	
Fees 3rd Period Fees 3rd	- 12-	ees	èces	ees .	ees	eg	71	Fees	pr 01 thru	Hrs. 3rd Apr 01 thru	3rd Period					Hours	Hours	Blended	
tal Total Fees Compare		tal	tal	tal	tal	E.	Total	Total	To Date		Total Hours Compare					Total	Total	Current	
ee CURRENT BILLING PERIOD (3) 1)	CURRENT BILLING PERIOD (3:4)					ee	2nd Fee Applicatio	First Fee 2nd Fee Application Application	Cumulative Hours	(a)	(3rd)	PERIOD	BILLING	CURRENT BILLING PERIOD (3rd)		First Fee 2nd Fee Application Application	First Fee Application		
FEES BILLED	FEES BILLED	FEES BILLED	FEES BILLEI	FE								ED	HOURS BILLED	I					
						١													

Heller Firm - By Attorney Sorted by Firm Position & Most Active to Least Active for Current Billing Period (12/01 through 3/02) Pacific Gas & Electric Company, Case No. 01-30923 DM, filed 4/6/01.

UST Analysis - May 29,2002

\$213,729		\$90,204	\$60,070	\$39,436	\$24,019	\$173,634	\$206,738	5394.9	324.6	1975.3	768.5 20	526.2 18	374.3 15	306.3	1650.7	1768.9	Non-Lawyer m-Lawyers	Total, Paralegal & Non-Lawyer No. Paralegal & Non-Lawyers
	0		0	0	0	3,853	64,635	526.6	-38.2	0.0					38.2	488.4		Other Non-Lawyers
					72			0.4	0.4	0.4				0.4			180/NA	Suess
					180	4,347		52.8	43.8	4.5				4.5	48.3	0		Temp Case Asst
	108	10		95		126	321	5.0	0.5	1.5	0.8		0.7		,,	2.5	135/NA	Alderman
					232	1,920	11,289	124.5	-13.0	5.8				5.8	18.8	99.9	113/40	Nwoso
		•	395					2.5	2.5	2.5		2.5					158/53	Hauther
1,708	630		280	798				12.2	12.2	12.2	4.5	2.0	5.7		0	0	140/NA	Skozer
				392	92			12.1	12.1	12.1			9.8	2.3			158/53	E
			774					4.9	4.9	4.9		4.9					90/40	J9.
	95		405	81	50	2,533	606	29.6	-15.4	4.7	0.7	3	0.6	0.4	20.1	4.8	135/NA	Simcox
		-	723					7.3	7.3	7.3		7.3					99/40	Nedimala
	756	75			97			7.1	7.1	7.1	6.2			0.9			122/40	Pult
1,077	7	1,077						16.2	16.2	16.2	16.2						104/40	Olivo
1,526	26	1,526				0	1,331	29.9	13.5	13.5	13.5				0	16.4	113/43	Gordon
1,661		•	1,593	68		37		12.6	12.0	12.3		11.8	0.5		0.3	0	135/40	Wellington
2,396					2,396	2,010		44.8	4.2	24.5				24.5	20.3	0		P.Paralegal
2,502				2,502				17.4	17.4	17.4			17.4					3753
2,817		2,618	112	86		12,285	10,322	207.1	-78.9	21.8	19.7	1.5	0.6		100.7	84.6	144/40	Contino
3,659		562	2,394		703	4,811	940	88.2	-16.9	30.9	4.8	19		7.1	47.8	9.5	126/40	Holzer
	27 4,434	2,027	2,407					84.0	84.0	84.0	35.5	48.5					122/40	Gonzales
4,722		418	854	2,604	846	8,204	7,014	145.0	-22.3	36.3	4.2	6.6	17.6	7.9	58.6	50.1	158/51	Whitehead
4,819								30.5	30.5	30.5	30.5						158/57	Rogers
5,767		2,683	1,879		1,206	9,900	4,311	210.1	-57.8	52.2	23.4	15.4		13.4	110	47.9	122/40	Tempelis
7,275		126	4,504	559	2,086	1,764		68.9	43.7	56.3	0.8	32.4	7.2	15.9	12.6	0	158/50	O'Hoyne
								60.0	60.0	60.0	60.0							UNDETERMINED
	91 8,184		1,961	1,510	1,623	8,541	8,107	409.4	39.0	164.6	54.8	40.3	36.7	32.8	125.6	119.2	72/40	Morris
_	68 11,606		1,469	1,738	1,431	10,836	7,669	285.9	40.1	80.3	44.1	9.3	11	15.9	120.4	85.2	158/53	Connatine
-			5,488	2,560	1,680	15,557	2,412	517.5	32.1	261.4	81.5	65.5	72.4	‡ 2	229.3	26.8	104/40	S
		15,609	14,037	2,128	4,722	10,735		426.5	236.5	331.5	134.5	112.5	28.5	56	95	0	144/41	Carteri
10			8,646	6,052	3,843	20,147	36,037	885.7	114.7	318.2	156.4	66	46.2	49.6	203.5	364	131/40	McLaughlin
	71 45,244	12,071	12,149	18,263	2,760	56,028	51,744	1,070.2	-99.8	300.4	76.4	77.7	119.4	26.9	400.2	369.6	158/60	Luster
																gt Rate	Normal Rate/File Mngt Rate	Norma
- 1	\dashv				- 1		ı										AND OTHE	PARALEGALS AND OTHER
	2 Dec-Mar	Mar-02	Feb-02	Jan-02	Dec-01	Aug-Nov 07	Apr-Jul 01	March 2002	o Hrs. 2ndMarch 2007	Dec-Mar	Mar-02	Feb-02	Jan-02	Dec-01	Aug-Nov 0	Ξ		
	2nd Down					1000	E CHE	TO CARE	Har and A	-					House	House	Blended	
	Total				THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O		Total	To Date	_	Total Hours	SOUTH AND ADDRESS OF	17-1012-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00	STORY CHARGOS STORY			Total	Current	
) (3:·d)	PERIOL	ILLING	CURRENT BILLING PERIOD (3:d)	CU	2nd Fee Application	First Fee Application A	Cumulative Hours	⊚	(3rd)	ERIOD	CURRENT BILLING PERIOD (3rd)	RENT B	CUR	2nd Fee pplication	First Fee 2nd Fee Application Application	<u> </u>	
		ED	FEES BILLED	_							Ċ	HOURS BILLED	E				_	
1																	7	